

closer high school in the same county. And so we amended that law, deleted that provision. Well, there was another provision in statute that says that in crossing county lines that a student could attend a different school if it was at least 10 miles closer and in the student's best interest. And this is exactly the same kind of provision that we repealed last year for within the county. We simply forgot to repeal this one that was across county lines and 10 miles closer. Choice was meant to deal with the situation and take care of any of the choices that were needed to be made at this point. So this simply strikes that provision. It does not strike out the provision that says that if a school is across state lines and 10 miles, within 10 miles of the student's home, and it would be in the student's best interest to attend that school, they can still do that out of state, because we do allow that now. This does not repeal that provision because choice only applies to schools within the State of Nebraska. It does not apply to any schools across state lines. The repeal of this would not take place until July 1st of 1993, because that is when the choice law is fully implemented, so we would not want to preclude that from happening up until that point. Another provision, I think I talked about, a little bit about the nonresident tuition students that are already attending a school district that is at capacity status. This simply changes a date in that, the date in the bill, in the original 207 was '93-94, this changes it to '91-92. It would allow this to occur in the next year already. There also is another provision that says that a student, if they relocate to another district, they can remain at the district where they were going to school, but this says that they had to be at that school district for at least two years before that can happen, because the reasoning behind this is that we want to provide for continuity of services for that child, and we figured that two years, if they had been attending the school district for two years, then the continuity idea made sense. And so this would require that they attend it for two years. And I think that the fourth one is in dealing with the committee amendments, in the committee amendments, we talk about the phase-in process being allowed...allowing these school districts that affiliate or join with a Class VI district to not be able to use choice immediately, that would be a phase-in process before they could use choice because we want them to encourage...to encourage them to use the...to send their or to affiliate or to join with a Class VI only if that is where they really wanted their students to go to, and not be able to use choice as a double-choice in this situation. This simply